

Annual meeting of the EADH Executive Committee  
Monday, 07 August 2017, 9:30 – 17:30  
Library Research Commons A, McGill Library, 3459 McTavish St.  
(coffee breaks: 11:00-11:30 and 16:00-16:30; lunch 13:00-14:30)

### **1. Attendance and agenda**

Attending:

- Aurélien Berra (AB)
- Barbara Bordalejo (Secretary) (BB)
- Elisabeth Burr (EB)
- Claire Clivaz (CC)
- Fabio Ciotti (FC)
- Øyvind Eide (The chair) (ØE)
- Leif Isaksen (LI)
- Inna Kizhner (IK)
- Maurizio Lana (ML)
- Christian-Emil Ore (CEO)

Apologies from:

- Edward Vanhoutte (DSH Editor-in-Chief) (EV)
- Paul Vetch (Treasurer) (PV) – joins the meeting via Skype at 12:00pm.
- Corina Moldovan (CM)
- Elena González-Blanco (EGB)
- Daniel Alves (DA)

In addition to executive committee members, we have also invited OUP Associate Publisher Victoria Smith who will join the meeting to present DHS publisher's report under item 12; Maksim Rumyantsev will join us at 10:30 (under item 4) as a representative of one of our AOs; and members of the forum will join us at 14:30 (item 9).

At 9:30, there is no quorum as AB and CC are attending the Humanistica meeting, no decisions will be made until quorum is reached when either AB or CC arrive.

At 9:45 The chair explains that we will start with points that do not require a vote. Some of the oral reports will have to be turned into written reports.

### **3. Chair's report**

The chair explains that this meeting is procedural and that we cannot make decisions until quorum is reached. Time will be kept strictly.

The chair reports that most of what has been happening the last year is reflected in the agenda. A lot of the work has, into developing routines and getting things to work smoothly between the new officers. The chair thanks the President and the Secretary for their work, but reminds everyone that the association is built by all its members. We continue our role of developing digital humanities in Europe. The membership base is solid, and the journal is in very good shape, it

continues to bring a significant income to the association. The chair assumes that within the next year at least five different AOs will join us. It has been a quiet year in relationship to ADHO, but some issues arose. There were discussions around the choice of PC The chair for 2019 which ended up with two PC The chairs. ADHO's SC The chair is leading well, and we should continue to evaluate the relationship between ADHO and the COs and the AOs.

At 10:03 we have reached quorum with the arrival of CC. The chair opens the meeting officially.

The chair explains that, in references to the proposed new COs, we only have the information provided by Ray Siemens on behalf of the admissions committee. Groups cannot be forced to work as a unit.

FC states that if we open the doors to everyone then what is stopping the local associations (Italian or German) from applying. The decision take will affect the future of ADHO, as the initial idea was having few COs.

The chair explains that ADHO is structured to handle at least 10 COs. FC reminds everyone that when Humanistica was accepted it was pointed out that it differed from other associations in that it was not region based, but language based.

The chair points out that when CenterNet was accepted we had the same discussion.

The chair has been invited to Lagos, Nigeria for a keynote during their first DH conference. He was not able to participate in their first summer school, which happened during the last few weeks. The sponsoring institution is the University of Lagos. The chair and CEO will be attending a conference in Russia in September.

In what regards to representation and participation, The chair points out that a member of the community complained that financial issues were a problem for those willing to participate. A second issue arose, we do not have a forum for the association, we will return to the subject later.

EB was invited to the Russian conference, but she is unable to attend.

FC initially agreed but that time of September is not possible for him.

BB is not able to attend because of funding issues.

The chair feels that EADH is officially represented, so there is no need to fret about this matter.

## **2. Minutes of the Rome 2016 mid-term meetings.**

There are no corrections to the Rome minutes.

LI motions to accept the meetings, FC seconds.

No one is against. The minutes are accepted unanimously.

The chair declares that the minutes accepted.

#### 4 . Organizational Issues

a. ADHO Governance Reform, Process (The chair reports orally)

Fundamental decisions cannot be made at ADHO level, this is the responsibility of the COs. ADHO is still trying to feel its way out of last year's difficult situation. LI requests more information about The chair's position on the role of the Implementation Committee. LI would like to know whether members of the Implementation Committee are representing each of the organizations or whether they are there as individuals.

CEO clarifies that members of the Implementation Committee are there as individuals. They were instructed by Karina to think as individuals and to take into account ADHO's structures and the smallest members of the community.

LI is still concerned about a possible conflict of interest for members of the Implementation Committee.

CEO is committed not to allow conflicts of interest to take part in deliberations of the Implementation Committee.

LI states that ADHO is moving to accept many more COs.

The chair explains that this is not the case, that there were only two organizations to start the path to becoming COs.

ML points out the how difficult it is to overcome historical and political issues surrounding nations. He questions whether all the individuals that are invited can participate.

b. AO finances and forum (Fabio Ciotti)

FC procedures for money flow should be made clear. EADH is paying a lot in exchange and bank fees. If we give money to an AO and they return it to EADH, we lose around 20% in that exchange. A possible solution is to have our own euros account.

The chair has discussed this with the Treasurer.

EB worries about how the financial situation will be resolved.

**ACTION: Find a way for the money to be spent by AOs and find a way to transfer it without much loss. (EB)**

FC If an AO pays early, we can make it work, but it does not always work that way.

CEO points out that the mandate of the members of the forum is not clear. Members need to be clearly informed of what they can or cannot do. The forum has not yet found its nature as nothing is really happening with it.

EB explains that projects are coming out of the forum and that discussions are taking place. Particularly, there has been a proposal about how to distribute funds this year.

The chair points out that we need to know how to handle it in the future. The chair suggests that this meeting should signal that we will continue to provide

support for the forum and allow them to continue to make decisions about the finances.

**ACTION: Guidelines for the forum and their funding will be developed, this should be done as a group (members of the Forum and EB)**

CEO states that only money that will be used for the whole community should be discussed in the forum. Money that goes back to the AOs should be administered by each AO.

FC suggest that we formalize the procedures for the forum and AOs.

The chair states that only money that goes to common projects should be administered at forum level.

EB explains that last year the AOs expected to receive money back. It is very complicated to make these decisions. We need to inform the AOs that there is a limit to the money that they can transfer. Moreover, the situation is not clear and so this makes it very difficult.

**c. Partner Organisations (AOs representatives will join us at 10:30)**

The chair welcomes Maksim and IK.

Maksim, as president of the Russian Association, informs us that all Russian centers are aligned under an umbrella organization. A formal association is now registered. In September, the association will be presented.

EB would like to know whether the association is formally in place.

Maksim explains that this is correct and that the time has come to discuss membership fees and other details. They will try to do this after the public launch of the association in September when the formal launch will take place.

CEO would like to know whether there are members now.

Maksim tells us that because the association has not been formally launched, there are no members.

The chair explains that at EADH level there are three AOs, with another one (Czech) joining shortly. With the Russian organization, we will reach a total of 5 AOs. There will be a distinction, in ADHO, between a more strategic part and a more In this system, personal subscriptions will be distributed in accordance with their membership, that is, the subscription will go to the COs with the exception of five euros that will go directly to ADHO. This will make clear the distinction between COs and AOs. From next year, the finances will become clearer, and we will have a better basis to continue to develop DH in Europe.

Although we are the largest ADHO CO, there is still a lot of potential for growth.

CEO states our pleasure at the success of the Russian organization.

Maksim tells us that there are 14 centers and departments, of leading Russian universities, which belong to the association. These are geographically diverse, and they employ different numbers of people. The hope is to start with about one hundred members. There are other researchers and faculty that would like to join the association, so the exact number of members cannot be forecasted.

The chair recalls that an organization for humanities computing was founded some 25 years ago.

Maksim has been in touch with them, and some do not feel that they belong to DH, but this will be discussed. Many of them are interested in taking part. There is, however, another association in Russia related to DH which has a focus on museums. Those working on linguistics do not yet have a professional association; however, their conference brings together a significant number of researchers.

The chair explains that something that has been discussed in the forum is the integration of existing journals.

Maksim informs that the lack of English is a problem since the majority of the information available from Russia is in Russian. It will be translated into English in the future.

FC explains that metadata from journals will be aggregated. A Russian representative should take part in the forum to make other aware of what is happening and also to contribute to the conversation.

The chair suggests that with little investment, EADH could still sponsor translations to improve communication between different countries in Europe. It would be useful for Russian academics to have access to articles published in many other European languages. It would also be beneficial for the rest of Europe to be able to learn what is happening in Russia and with matters relating to the Russian language.

Maksim explains that there are many journals in Russia, but colleagues from computing sciences have pointed out that there are not many submissions.

FC states that the Nordic association does not have its own journal.

CEO points out that there is a Swedish journal that focuses on library science.

The Nordic Association would like to make it more a DH journal to also publish the proceedings from the conferences. This is an ongoing process.

FC suggest to select some articles and to translate the abstracts, to guide people as to the research currently being carried out. Because the journals are both printed and online, it should be relatively easy to do this.

Maksim thinks that this is a good recommendation.

The chair proposes that a further discussion can be carried out as part of the Russian conference in September.

Maksim says that this was already planned.

The chair points out that this is precisely the point of this organization, to have a scholarly conversation about research. He wonders whether other people from the region might attend.

Maksim tells us that they do not expect anyone from outside Russia.

Coffee break

## **5 . Elections 2017**

EADH Executive, election results.

LI reminds us that there were four vacancies. There were seven candidates, eligible, and two ineligible. There was a diverse group representing many countries.

LI con

CC points out that the elected candidates were known to the executive (serving as elected or co-opted). We should continue to use co-option as a way to maintain diversity.

The chair thanks, CEO and EGB for serving on the committee and for the continuing work. He also thanks the Nominations Committee for their work.

LI informs us that the Nominations Committee has several recommendations for the future. There is also a problem for people with more than one affiliation. We should require that AOs have a master format for their memberships lists.

FC thinks that the EADH format is not ideal for this and should be cleaned up.

LI requests a clear definition of what means to be a member.

FC thinks that members should pay.

ML informs us that there are tools for database management that could be used to fix this.

FC points out that OUP does not have the information from the AOs.

CEO says we already discussed the issues of the list.

The chair informs us that Christof is already working on a management system that would solve all of these problems. This is happening at ADHO level, and the German association might be a test case.

FC proposes to ask whether ConfTool can be adapted to manage this type of data (membership)

CEO would like to see lists from the AOs, so this can be collated against the OUP list.

LI has two more points: one of the candidates did not receive a voting token.

Although the person was eligible as a candidate, he was not eligible to vote.

There is different cut out date for OUP. The proposed solution to make it consistent is to synchronize the cut out date. The possible cut out dates are the beginning or end of nominations. The end of nominations has the problem that we will need more time to contemplate the possible inconsistencies.

BB thinks that we should be as open as possible taking into account that as Dhers we move a lot and they should not be punished for that.

EB acknowledges all the problems and recognizes they cannot be solved.

However, there is a lot of work that has to go into this to make it possible.

CEO asks whether we will discuss this more.

The chair informs that, as a group, we will continue to talk about these matters.

LI also wants to point out that we need to discuss up to which degree the executive committee should attempt to have more areas that are more desirable.

BB points out that her understanding that the role of the Nominating Committee to ensure that there is a pool of candidates, but not to influence the election.

The chair thanks the members of the Nominations Committee for this work and their excellent observations and suggestions for the future. We will strive to do better and to solve the issues arising from this year's election.

**Action: Work on the recommendations from the Nominations Committee and bullet points on what to do if one wants to be nominated.**

**Action: Manual procedures for election (Nominations Committee)**

**Action: Discuss the use of ConfTool with Christof for adaptation for EADH (The chair).**

Elected: Fabio Ciotti, Corina Moldovan, Elena Spadini, Inna Kizhner.

b. Co-option of further EADH executive members (moved to after lunch to make time for the treasurer's report)

c. Election of new treasurer

The chair invites comments on the candidacy we have for treasurer.

LI points a potential conflict of interest arising from tomorrow's election of ADHO's deputy treasurer.

The chair informs that he has spoken to the candidate for treasurer who is willing to do this and knows what the role entails. If she were elected, PV will assist her through the transition.

EB motions for a vote for treasurer, ML seconds.

Vote for new treasurer: 6 in favor, one abstention. Charlotte Tupman is elected Treasurer.

The chair thanks PV for his services and his willingness to assist with the transition.

## **6 . Treasurer's report**

The chair informs PV that we have elected a new treasurer and thanks him for his role the past three years.

PV is happy to help with the transition and thinks that it should be relatively easy to arrange for the handover.

The chair vows to assist during the process.

PV tells us that there are a few changes from the projection. The final expenditure was lower than it was projected to be. In 2016, we only carried out operational activities. The mid-term meeting expenses have been reduced from 50% of the budget to around 21%. The payment from the German association is not reflected in this budget.

a. Projections 2017

The projection for this year is that we will expend a large proportion of our budget on Workshops and Grants.

The chair points out that around half of our money is going to small grants, AO disbursement, and bursaries.

PV explains that just recently we have decided how to redistribute AO money.

The chair asks whether there are any questions.

There are no questions.

b. Expenditures 2017-18

PV thinks that we need to use our budget because only 12.5% of the budget for 2017 has been used.

The chair states that the money for workshops has not gone out yet.

EB wants to know what happened with the money for the AO forum for 2016.

PV informs that it was never budgeted for that year that the AOs should receive any money.

PV adds that the final calculations on disbursement figures are higher than previously thought.

The chair explains that there is a budget for attendance to the midterm meeting. In the past, this was covered at real cost. That option is no longer sustainable.

Two years ago, it was only 100 pounds per person. Last year, it was at least 100 pounds per person. We could continue with this last option.

PV informs that contingency and outreach have been stable for the last two years.

The chair informs that officers that cannot find any other funding will have their expenses covered in the future. He also points out that the Workshops and Grants represent a slightly lower expenditure this year.

LI states that although there were no applications for travel bursaries, some were assigned to individuals who needed it.

The chair has included EASSH and EADH Day as part of the budget.

PV core expenses are fixed, while investments can be expanded. One way of dealing with this is to rethink what we consider an investment.

EB asks how can the forum work if a budget was not approved for 2016.

The chair suggests that we can make decisions about 2017 as long as we consider it an investment.

EB reminds us that the former The chair set up the forum to make decisions about how to handle the disbursement.

PV thinks that it would be easy to find the money, but there is a technicality as to where we find it. Does the money come from the AOs or is it funded by EADH directly?

The chair states that AOs pay money, some of which goes to ADHO, a significant part stays in EADH. That money partly covers EADH costs. The leftover should go back to the AOs and how it is spent should be decided by them. The level for the future should be decided over the real budget, and it should be done at the next meeting.

CEO would like to get the AO money to make a student bursary fund, but the money has not gone back to the AOs.

The chair thinks that the AO disbursement should not include the current figure, this should go against the bursaries' figure.

PV suggests that a role-specific

**Action point: Create a role-specific email for the treasurer. (Secretary)**

**Action: The exact amount of money for AOs in 2016 should be clarified.**

**(Treasurer)**

**Action: Use of AO money (EB)**

c. ADHO finances (brief overview)

PV just received the spreadsheet. ADHO's expenditure has gone down. It looks what like we have around 8000 pounds, which is slightly more than expected.

The journal is lower than expected and, because we have been pre-paid, this will have to be made up next year. OUP is getting later and later to pay for the journal.

## **8. Membership report (Fabio Ciotti, in AGORA)**

b. Co-option of further EADH executive members (moved to after lunch to make time for the treasurer's report)

LI thinks that co-option is an incredibly powerful tool. A co-opted person is likely to be here about seven years. There is an opportunity cost.

EB points out that of the people that were for election, several were not excluded. The chair wants us to consider how we can bring people from outside. The chair reminds us that we can co-opt from 0 to 3 people and that we can do it at any point.

EB would like to make sure that no one from Portugal would be ready to do this. AB thinks that we have selected people that represented people who are traditionally underrepresented. He does not see a reason to co-opt anyone. He suggests not to have co-opted members for two years.

The chair thinks that it is a good idea, but that we should not commit ourselves to this.

CEO suggests that we only co-opt people for specific reasons.

The chair says that co-option will not work for the membership officer since this requires a longer term than that of co-option.

CC does not want to lose this tool.

The chair states that traditionally, we have co-opted someone from the aspiring AOs.

EB says this would work well.

BB suggests co-opting James O'Sullivan, as he is a young scholar and represents a different demographic.

The chair agrees he is a good scholar and would be a good choice.

LI states that the demographic of young scholars is precisely why Elena Spadini was elected.

ML suggests that we co-opt CEO, to keep a link with the implementation committee.

EB says that we will never lose the link with our members, whether or not they are co-opted.

The chair considers unnecessary to co-opt CEO.

CC states that EADH has a very specific role. She wonders whether we should not have more assertive attitudes. The role of the association as multicultural and multilingual should be upheld. As an association, we are used to practicing what the others claim to do.

The chair does not think that co-option should not be an option for CEO since he decided not to run for reelection.

CEO suggest co-opting the head of the Czech organization.

CEO points out that it is important to make sure we get the members of AOs as part of the committee.

LI also agrees on this matter.

The chair suggests that we will keep co-option as an open question for James O'Sullivan. This can be reopened over the autumn or, at the latest, at the mid-term meeting. With the mandate of this executive, The chair shall contact the leader of the Czech association to ask them whether they would like the co-option.

## **7. Membership report.**

The chair suggests that the membership report will be discussed at the midterm meeting.

## **8 . Time and venue for the midterm meeting.**

The chair states that the proposal for a venue for the midterm meeting. Prompts everyone to make a decision. He points out the long tradition that exists in the region.

A vote is taken; it is unanimous.

## **Action: make a doodle poll for the whole of the executive (Secretary)**

AB would like to know about support for travel.

The chair explains that we can look into that and have a further budget.

FC suggests that we could use money from the outreach activities.

## **9 . Association initiatives, tasks**

a. AO's developments (Forum members invited from 14:30)

The chair welcomes Christof as a representative of the forum.

EB wanted to include the members of the forum for a live debate. Anika cannot be here, but FC is.

Christof is grateful to be able to present what has been discussed as part of the forum. He explains that the forum was tasked with the plan of how to spend annuals disbursements. After discussion, the forum came with a double approach: the money should be split in half. One-half will be used for a common purpose (the journal metadata federation), the other half will go to the AOs. The journal metadata federation will allow for multiple language translations of different European journals. In this way, there will be more exposure for the journals and for the different article. The system will allow people to identify texts that would be of interest to them. The project is slightly delayed because there is only one journal. We are waiting for the French and Italian journals are out so that metadata can be exchanged. Since the active journals are not active, this can be done with historical journals which are being used as a test case.

Initially, they thought of DublinCore for this, but it is less useful than expected for journal articles. DARIAH is ready to host the data in their repository, but they are only happy to do it if we use their current structure. It is not yet known whether DARIAH is the best solution for this. It is necessary to find a student, but because he is changing institutions, it is not the best time to do this. There is consensus in the forum that this 50/50 model is good also for the future, so this strategy is likely to continue.

FC does not think that the work will start before the end of the year.

Christof has open questions that should be solved before the student joins him.

The chair would like that the Russian and Czech materials should be considered for the first phase.

Christof is also aware of a Romanian journal that should be included.

IK would like to know more about the metadata schema.

Christof explains that they are currently using DublinCore, but that this is not sufficient.

EB would like the system to go at the level of individual articles because some of the Russian journals publish many articles, but not all of them are DH.

Christof wants to focus on journals, rather than articles (which will need a curator).

The chair suggests that this could be a future project.

FC think that DublinCore can be adapted for use as part of this project.

Christof points out that no one is a librarian or metadata specialist.

FC suggests that someone from the community could be asked.

CEO states that the historical data might be quite large, but that this is also a fascinating window into the past.

Christof also agrees that this could be a good to do a historical study.

AB considers that the keywords will be very useful. An important remark is that there might also be different scripts.

EB did not want to propose a bibliography, but the work done in Russia should be taken into account.

IK sees the selection of articles as another task that should be done. She wants to know how to start submitting metadata.

Christof states that, in some case, the metadata will have to be requested.

The chair is pleased with the report of the state of the work. He also has ideas for future projects.

EB states that we should found out that the money for 2016 had not be budgeted.

FC since the process needs to be streamlined, a committee should be set up to have a more formal statement about how the money should be distributed. A group should work on this protocol.

Christof would like the members of the forum to be mandated to do this work.

CEO agrees that there should be a mandate.

EB thanks the forum for their good work and hopes that they will continue to work and then produce something.

#### b. Application from CzADH

LI points out he will not vote on a document he cannot understand.

CEO thinks it arrogant to send the constitution in Czech without translation.

The chair suggests that we request more documents. A decision will have to be made after those documents are delivered.

We will make a statement that we cannot accept organizations if we cannot understand how they are run.

EB points out that the midterm meeting is not so far away.

The chair proposes that, since we have moved to co-opt The chair of the Czech organization, we can ask whether they can send an English translation of their document.

CEO suggests we should co-opt a member of the organization, and later we can assess their documents once they become available. The decision can be made afterward.

LI does not want to set a precedent in which we co-opt people before knowing about their organization.

CEO thinks that the mechanism of co-option would help to understand their organization.

EB says we should separate the two issues: co-option and becoming an AO. If someone can be helpful to the community, we co-opt them whether they are or not a part of an AO.

LI thinks that the Russian situation was different because they didn't have a constitution and the opportunity for dialogue was used to build that constitution. The chair acknowledges this, and he will contact the Czech organization would ask whether we can get a translation of their constitution. Independently, we will co-opt a member of the Czech Republic.

AB reminds us that the Russian association gave a list of affiliations of the people involved in this. The Czech Association is very detailed in the application letter.

We do not know how they treat their individual or collective members.

The chair states that the votes will happen when we know who the person up for co-option is and when we get a translation of the documents.

### **c. EASSH (President reports orally)**

EB informs that we should put a link to EASSH on our website. Gabi Lombardo would like to take part in our meetings. Because she does not have to fund, she needs to be flown in. EB recommends we should meet her in person and she will go to Brussels to see her (November 3rd). EB request financial assistance for this.

**Action: EB to contact EGB to find out the exact situation (EB)**

### **10 . Conferences (2017, 2018 and 2019: brief updates, 2020) (PC members)**

CC reports that there is nothing new, she thanks FC for accepting being PC The chair for 2019. She points out that the next European conference will be very strategic.

#### **11. EADH conference (Fabio Ciotti)**

FC would like us to make a decision about this and then launch a call for venues. He has already written a call for venues. The requirement is a two page proposal. BB suggests that we should announce it at the AGM, later this week.

FC would like to host it in the Autumn.

EB suggests to ask for proposals from October onwards. The deadline will be the 30th of September.

**Action: Launch the call for venues at the AGM (The chair)**

#### **12. DSH editor and publisher reports (Edward Vanhoutte, report in Agora, Victoria Smith will join us at 15:45)**

The chair welcomes Victoria Smith and asks everyone to introduce themselves. The chair explains that the reports have been uploaded and read by all.

Victoria Smith refers to the report (renewal rate is 104%). LI asks whether our trends are unusual in any way.

Victoria tells us that, at some point, stopping the print version will save us money.

LI asks whether there is any sense about how the rest of the world is distributed. Victoria explains that it can be done, but that will take a little work. The pages budget was increased last year.

LI asks about the relationship of china being number 4 in the access list.

IK observes that this departs from the conference demographics.

Victoria explains that the OUP has an office in China, which explains this.

The chair would like to know how it compares with the sciences.  
LI would like to know what type of analytics are used for the site and whether it is possible to know which cities are hotspots for this research.

### **13. Outreach and communication**

BB and EB assessed the candidates and confirmed the order proposed by Antonio:

1. Giovannetti, Francesca
2. Sichani, Anna-Maria
3. Kronenwette, Simone

The chair could not participate because of a conflict of interest.  
The chosen person is Francesca Giovannetti.

### **14. EADH day (evaluation of Rome 2017) (Fabio Ciotti)**

FC reports that the day was a success. There were many applications and it was a good example of this type of event. This is an effective way to involve others and reach outside our normal boundaries.

### **15. EADH grants initiative (Leif Isaksen, report in Agora)**

LI reports that there were no applications for travel bursaries. The small grants were pretty successful. He tell us to encourage people in our own countries.

Twice as many women applied than men.

The chair concludes that some call types are more popular than others.

AB thinks that there was no much time to put together the applications.

LI thinks that we should have a higher amount to give in the future.

### **17. EADH website editor & communication fellows (Antonio Rojas, report in Agora)**

The chair points out the enormous work done by Antonio for the association.  
Antonio will step down at the end of the year and he will be replaced by the proposed candidates.

EB states how responsive Antonio was and how grateful we should be to him.

### **18. Any other business**

The chair would like to thank everyone for being here and also for other work, representing us in other settings. The chair thanks the Secretary for her work.

ML reports that there is a new multilingual site for ADHO

The site is not only multilingual but also multicultural. There is a space that the various associations can describe themselves, not just linking, but by having their own place within the ADHO site. This will contemplate associations that are not part of ADHO and everyone will be welcome. This will give a multifaceted image of digital humanities around the world. The sites will describe activities, intentions, projects, and contact persons. There is a procedure for this which will be channeled through the Multilingual and multicultural committee.

AB loves the idea, but also thinks that ADHO should be light weight in their editing and should be kept up to date. He wonders whether there are special resources for this.

ML explains that there is small group of people that are paid to keep this up to date.

CEO asks whether it will be at the CO level.

ML reiterates that COs and AOs can both participate.

CEO would like to talk about the implementation of this, particularly about the obligation to keep the site updated.

The chair suggests to continue the discussion later. He reminds us that we do not have a forum for EADH. He proposes to develop a forum for members.

LI wonders whether there is need for another list.

The chair explains that we should have a place to discuss things pertaining EADH.

The list will be meant for discussions.

FC is resigning from his role as a membership officer (also at ADHO level). It should not be hard were it not for the membership management. FC thanks everyone for supporting him as PC The chair 2019.

**Action: create a distribution list for EADH (Communication fellow)**

The chair closes the meeting at 17:48.